

LAND SUBSIDENCE REBOUND CAPABILITY

RICHARD TANNER DETESTA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES

INTRODUCTION

- Groundwater withdrawal rates are ulletat an all-time high
 - Due to increased agricultural need
- When extraction rate exceeds ulletinfiltration rate (replenishing) ground subsidence occurs
- The compression from subsidence • can be characterized into three main layers that determine rebounding
- The first layer experiences greatest ulletdeformation
- Best ability for rebound
- Second and third have more \bullet gradual and long-term subsidence
- The subsidence index, C_w, • describes the soil compressibility during groundwater withdrawal
- This index could point to areas lacksquaremore vulnerable to permanent groundwater subsidence
- It also correlates depth of aquifers • with pressure to account for "recovery periods" of land subsidence

METHODS

- Interpreting the data found on soil type and depth and subsidence index
- Correlate this to soil types and ulletaquifer depths
- Determine the possibly recovery ullettime of land subsidence in this region using this index

RESULTS



Samples	Experimental deformation (mm)	Theoretical deformation (mm)	Δu_1 (kPa)	
Sample 1	0.250	0.298	88-0	
Sample 2	0.225	0.220	100-0	
Sample 3	0.078	0.072	100-0	
Sample 4	0.063	0.061	100-0	
Sample 5	0.032	0.039	100-0	
Sample 6 0.043		0.048	100-0	

Sample	Total stress (kPa)	Δu_1 (kPa) 0~100	Δu_2 (kPa) 100~200	Δu_3 (kPa) 200~400	Δu_4 (kPa) 400~600	Recovery process
Sample 1	240	88-0				0-88
Sample 2	448	176-76	76–0			0-176
Sample 3	844	361-261	261-161	161-0		0-361
Sample 4	1013	464-364	364-264	264-64		64-464
Sample 5	1456	645-545	545-445	445-245	245-45	45-645
Sample 6	1570	723-623	623-523	523-323	323-123	123-723

Sample	Initial void ratio <i>e</i> 0	Void ratio e	Subsidence index C_w (kPa ⁻¹)
Sample 1	0.77	0.7523	2.01E-4
Sample 2	0.51	0.4964	1.36E-4
Sample 3	0.52	0.5152	4.74E-5
Sample 4	0.66	0.6558	4.18E-5
Sample 5	0.53	0.5280	1.96E-5
Sample 6	0.64	0.6372	2.82E-5

CONCLUSION

- The data shows that the lower \bullet depths of the water table the longer the recovery time
 - There is a corresponding increase in pressure with depth that does not allow for uncompressing of soil
- After the sampling points were past the unconfined aquifers, the second and third characterized layers there was less deformation but more pressure
- This pressure led to the longer rebound time of subsidence
- If this data corresponds to ulletconditions in California, when the water table begins to increase in depth there is more corresponding pressure that forces a longer rebounding period
 - This is where the irreversible damage occurs as the increase in pressure destroys compression of soil and allows limited rebound

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Cao, Y., Wei, Y., Fan, W., Peng, M., & Bao, L. (2020). Experimental study of land subsidence in response to GROUNDWATER withdrawal and recharge in Changping District of Beijing. PLOS ONE, 15(5). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0232828